



**REVIEW OF THE SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE TO BE PUBLISHED IN
JOURNAL OF HERITAGE CONSERVATION**

Author(s):.....

Title of the article:.....

(mark appropriate answers with an x in the box on the right side)

1.	Overall value of the paper	
	– original paper	
	– paper contributes to development of science or its practical side	
	– paper contains no new findings	
2.	Is the paper title appropriate to its content?	
	– yes	
	– partially (reviewer's comment)	
	– not appropriate	
3.	Was the issue addressed in the paper clearly presented?	
	– yes	
4.	Is the used terminology appropriate?	
	– no	
5.	Conclusions	
	– appropriate	
	– acceptable (reviewer's comment)	
6.	Literature	
	– appropriate	
	– incomplete	
7.	Key words and summary	
	– appropriate	
	– acceptable (reviewer's comment)	
	– inappropriate to the paper's content	

8.	Is the language of the work appropriate?	
	- yes	
	- no	
9.	Are the illustrations properly selected?	
	- yes	
	- require supplementing (reviewer's comment)	
	- no	
10.	Final assessment	
	- paper can be published in its present form	
	- paper can be published after considering reviewer's comments	
	- not suitable for publishing	

DETAILED COMMENTS
(detailed comments should include necessary corrections and additions)

Date and signature of the reviewer

.....